arXiv:math/0411439v1 [math.CV] 19 Nov 2004

Holomorphic Diffeomorhisms of Semisimple Homogenous Spaces

Árpád Tóth Dror Varolin [*]

1 Introduction

Complex analytic manifolds whose group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms acts transitively have been at the center of much research since Klein's Erlangen program. Almost as early on, studying these symmetries proved itself a useful tool in the function theory of several complex variables. When the homogeneous space can be represented as a bounded symmetric domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, the group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms preserves a natural metric, and is therefore finite dimensional. Poincaré computed these groups in the case of the ball and the bidisk, and was lead to the failure of the Riemann Mapping Theorem in higher dimensions.

In this paper we study the the analytic geometry of Stein manifolds that support a transitive action, by holomorphic diffeomorphisms, of a complex semisimple Lie group. Unlike the aforementioned bounded symmetric domains, these manifolds have holomorphic diffeomorphism groups that are infinite dimensional, and thus a different approach is required for their study. This work is based on certain connections between representation theory and properties of the entire group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms that we establish through further development of our earlier work [TV].

Past work in the study of affine homogeneous spaces has concentrated mainly on algebraic automorphisms, invariant theory, and applications to algebraic geometry. Even in the simplest case of $\mathbb{C}^n$, the group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms had not been well understood until the ground-breaking work of Andersén and Lempert [A, AL]. Recall that a shear is a transformation of $\mathbb{C}^n$ that, after an affine change of coordinates, has the form $(w, z) \mapsto (z, e^{a(z)}w + b(z))$, where $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1})$. The Andersén-Lempert Theorem states that when $n \geq 2$ every holomorphic diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^n$ can be approximated uniformly on compact sets by compositions of shears.

In a manner similar to $\mathbb{C}^n$, semisimple homogeneous spaces such as the smooth affine quadrics $Q_n = SO(n+1, \mathbb{C})/SO(n, \mathbb{C}) = \left\{x_0^2 + ... + x_n^2 = 1\right\} \subset$

---

[*] 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 32M05, 32M17, 32M25, 22E46

$\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ are very symmetric and large in the complex analytic sense, and so it is difficult to say things about the group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms.

The density property is a notion capturing the intuitive idea that the group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms is as large as possible. Let X be a complex manifold, and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on X. We say that X has the *density property* if the Lie subalgebra generated by the complete vector fields on X is dense in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$:

$$\overline{\langle \xi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) \ ; \ \xi \text{ is complete} \rangle} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(X).$$

(See section 2 for the definition of complete vector fields.)

In fact, we establish the density property for a large collection of semisimple homogeneous spaces. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *If G is a complex semisimple Lie group of adjoint type and K a reductive subgroup, then the homogeneous space $X = G/K$ has the density property.*

Recall that a semisimple Lie group is of *adjoint* type if its center is trivial or, equivalently, if the adjoint representation is faithful. We were not able to establish the density property for all semisimple homogeneous spaces; the proof of our main theorem uses the adjoint condition in a crucial way.

The density property is of fundamental significance, and governs the geometry of the underlying space in various respects, some of which were discussed in [V1, V2]. By results of [V2], we have the following corollaries of Theorem 1.1.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let X be as in Theorem 1.1, and let $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$.*

1. *There is an open cover of X by open subsets each of which is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$, i.e., is a Fatou-Bieberbach domain.*
2. *The space X is biholomorphic to one of its proper open subsets.*
3. *Let Y be a complex manifold with $\dim(Y) < \dim(X)$, such that and there exists a proper holomorphic embedding $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$. Then there exists another proper holomorphic embedding $j' : Y \hookrightarrow X$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$, $\varphi \circ j(Y) \neq j'(Y)$.*

Here and below, $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ refers to the group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of the complex manifold X. We avoid the more standard notation $Aut(X)$, and use the words *holomorphic diffeomorphisms* in place of the more common *automorphisms* for fear that when Lie groups are in the picture, use of the word *automorphism* may cause confusion.

Knowing the density property for a given space has applications not only to the geometry of that space, but also to some associated spaces on which the density property is not known to hold. There are many results of this kind, but for the purpose of illustration we restrict ourselves to the following theorem, which is our second main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a semisimple homogeneous space of dimension n and Y a complex manifold of dimension $< n$ such that there exists a proper holomorphic embedding $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$. Then there exists another proper holomorphic embedding $j' : Y \hookrightarrow X$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$, $\varphi \circ j(Y) \neq j'(Y)$.*

It is not known if the spaces X to which Theorem 1.3 applies have the density property. They are all finite covers of the spaces in Theorem 1.1, and the proof of Theorem 1.3 uses only this fact. It is an open problem whether finite covers of Stein manifolds with the density property have the density property, and vice versa. If this turns out to be true, it would greatly simplify the proof of the main result of [TV]. In fact, the general relationship between the density property and covers (finite or not) is still not well understood, with the most famous open problem being the question of whether or not there is a Fatou-Bieberbach domain in $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

One of the two central ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the general notion of *shears*, introduced in [V3]. This notion, whose key ideas are recalled in section 2, turns the verification of the density property on homogeneous spaces into a problem in representation theory of semisimple Lie groups– the second central ingredient.

The density property was introduced so as to allow generalization to other complex manifolds of the previously mentioned results of Andersén and Lempert [A, AL]. In the process, this definition also simplified the proofs of those results, even in the original setting. The theorems of Andersén and Lempert began as answers to questions raised by J.-P. Rosay and W. Rudin in their foundational paper [RR]. It was also realized (in part in the paper [AL], and more fully in the joint work of F. Forstnerič and Rosay [FR]) that the density property could be used in many interesting analytic geometric constructions in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In this regard, there are definitions of the density property for more general Lie algebras of vector fields (see [V1]), taking into account whatever additional geometry one cares about. For more details on this side of the story, we recommend the survey papers [Fc] and [R].

As it turns out, the notion of the density property transcends generalization for its own sake, bringing to light new features of the complex manifolds possessing this property; witness Corollary 1.2 and further results in [V2].

The density property on a Stein manifold X is a meaningful statement about the size of the group $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$. Indeed, one way of measuring the size of this group is to look at its formal tangent space: the closure of the Lie algebra generated by the complete vector fields on X. The density property is the statement that this formal tangent space is as large as possible.

Some additional remarks are of interest.

1. Previously, there was some hope that the "largest" spaces were determined by their topology and the identical vanishing of the Kobayashi infinitesimal pseudometric. This was formulated precisely as the following question of Diederich and Sibony [DS] for the case of $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$:

If X is a Stein manifold that is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and has identically vanishing Kobayashi infinitesimal pseudometric, is X biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$?

A recent counterexample of Fornæss [Fs] answered this question in the negative. In view of corollary 1.2.1, we have the following

Conjecture 1.4. *If X is a Stein manifold that is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$ and has the density property, then X is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

2. From the analytic geometry point of view, Stein manifolds with the density property seem to share many geometric properties of rational algebraic varieties, and thus it is natural to ask the following question.

Question 1.5. *Does every Stein manifold with the density property, have a Moishezon compactification? Is there a compactification that is bimeromorphic to $\mathbb{P}_n$?*

By combining Corollary 1.2.1 with a famous theorem of Kodaira [K], one has that a surface with the density property that also admits a compactification must be rational. This is generally false in higher dimensions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall various known results that are needed in the proofs of our main theorems. Some of these results are standard, and others were developed in [V3] and [TV]. In Section 3 we extend results in [TV] to reduce the study of the density property on complex semisimple homogeneous spaces of adjoint type to a problem in representation theory. Although much of Section 5 is a collection of facts, it is technically the most demanding part of the paper, and so in Section 4 we show how, in some specific cases, the density property can be established without recourse to the technical arguments and full-blown root system machinery of Section 5. The homogeneous spaces we use as examples are ubiquitous, appearing naturally in many mathematical constructions. The conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.1 occupies Section 5, using a case-by-case analysis of the representation theoretic problem established in Section 3. The proof of Theorem 1.3 occupies the sixth and final section.

2 Background Material

In this section, we state various results that are needed later. This is also an opportunity to establish some notation. Since all of the material in this section is contained elsewhere, we omit almost all proofs.

Holomorphic vector fields.

A holomorphic vector field ξ on a complex manifold X is a holomorphic section of $T_X^{1,0}$, the holomorphic part of the complexified tangent bundle. We let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ denote the set of holomorphic vector fields on X. Since $T_X^{1,0}$ is naturally isomorphic to the real tangent bundle T_X, we can identify ξ with a real vector field that we continue to denote by ξ. As such, there is a flow φ_ξ associated to ξ, which is defined on an open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathbb{R} \times X$ containing $\{0\} \times X$ in the following way: for $(t,p) \in \mathcal{U}$, $\varphi_\xi^t(p) = c(t)$, where $c : (-a(p), b(p)) \to X$ is the unique maximal solution of the initial value problem

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = \xi \circ c, \qquad c(0) = p. \tag{$*$}$$

It follows from general ODE theory that the map $p \mapsto \varphi_\xi^t(p)$ is holomorphic.

We say that ξ is *complete* if $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R} \times X$, i.e., if for each $p \in X$ one can solve $(*)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case $\{\varphi_\xi^t \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a one parameter group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of X. We say that ξ is $\mathbb{C}$-*complete* if both ξ and $i\xi$ are complete. Define the $\mathbb{C}$-flow of ξ to be

$$g_\xi^{s+it} := \varphi_\xi^s \circ \varphi_{i\xi}^t.$$

If ξ is $\mathbb{C}$-complete, then $\{g_\xi^\zeta \mid \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}$ defines a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}$-action. In this paper, all complete vector fields are $\mathbb{C}$-complete, so we shall often drop the prefix $\mathbb{C}$, and still refer to g_ξ as the flow of ξ, even though it is defined for "complex time".

With the operation $[\xi, \eta] = \xi\eta - \eta\xi$, $\mathcal{X}_\mathcal{O}(X)$ forms a Lie algebra. We can generate a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}_\mathcal{O}(X)$ using complete vector fields on X. We shall call any vector field in the closure of this subalgebra *completely generated.* In general, this subalgebra will not consist of complete vector fields. However, completely generated vector fields have the extraordinary property that their flows can be approximated (in the locally uniform, and hence $\mathcal{C}^k$ topology) by holomorphic diffeomorphisms of X [V1]. This result can be proved as a combination of an approximation method, often attributed to Euler, and the following formulas. Let ξ and η be vector fields with flows φ_ξ and φ_η respectively.

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \varphi_\xi^t \circ \varphi_\eta^t = \xi + \eta$$

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0+} \varphi_\eta^{-\sqrt{t}} \circ \varphi_\xi^{-\sqrt{t}} \circ \varphi_\eta^{\sqrt{t}} \circ \varphi_\xi^{\sqrt{t}} = [\xi, \eta].$$

The approximation method of Euler can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a manifold, and let ξ be a vector field with flow φ_ξ on X. Suppose $F_t : X \to X$ is a $\mathcal{C}^1$ family of self maps such that $F_0 = id_X$ and*

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} F_t = \xi.$$

Then, in the compact-open topology, one has

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \underbrace{F_{t/N} \circ \ldots \circ F_{t/N}}_{N \text{ times}} = \varphi_\xi^t.$$

Putting all of this together, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let ξ be a vector field that lies in the closure of the Lie algebra generated by the complete vector fields on X. Let $K \subset X$ be compact, and let t be such that the flow of ξ is defined up to time t on K. Then $\varphi_\xi^t|K$ can be approximated, uniformly on K, by holomorphic diffeomorphisms of X.*

General shears

In [V3] the following fundamental proposition was proved.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ be $\mathbb{C}$-complete, and let $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Then $f \cdot \xi$ is $\mathbb{C}$-complete if and only if $\xi^2 f = 0$.*

One is thus naturally lead to study the function spaces

$$I^1(\xi) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(X) \mid \xi f = 0\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad I^2(\xi) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(X) \mid \xi^2 f = 0\}$$

consisting of holomorphic *first* and *second* integrals respectively.

Definition 2.4. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ be $\mathbb{C}$-complete. We call $f \cdot \xi$ a ξ-shear (resp. ξ-overshear) if $f \in I^1(\xi)$ (resp. $f \in I^2(\xi)$).*

We will often refer to ξ-shears simply as shears, and similarly with overshears.

Remark: Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, let ξ be a constant vector field and let $f \in I^j(\xi)$ for $j = 1, 2$. In this case, it is the time-1 map of $f\xi$, rather than $f\xi$ itself, that is called a shear and overshear in the literature. In a sense, our shears are *infinitesimal shears.*

For a given complete vector field, the existence of first integrals is a classical problem and a highly nontrivial matter. The orbits of the vector field must sit fairly nicely together, generally speaking. For the existence of second integrals that are not first integrals, almost all the orbits must be biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, and the orbit space must be extremely regular [V3]. Nevertheless, in the case of complex (semisimple) Lie groups, many left invariant vector fields have a lot of first and second integrals.

Semisimple Lie algebras.

The following notation will be used throughout the paper. We denote by $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ the three dimensional complex Lie algebra with basis $\{E, F, H\}$ satisfying the commutation relations

$$[H, E] = 2E \qquad [H, F] = -2F \qquad [E, F] = H. \tag{1}$$

By a representation ρ of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we mean a vector space V and a linear map $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to End(V)$ satisfying

$$\rho([\xi, \eta]) = \rho(\xi)\rho(\eta) - \rho(\eta)\rho(\xi).$$

We will refer to such V as $\mathfrak{g}$-spaces, or $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. Let $ad : \mathfrak{g} \to End(\mathfrak{g})$ be the adjoint representation, i.e., the representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ on itself given by $ad(\xi)\eta := [\xi, \eta]$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$.

For a general semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, i.e., a commutative subalgebra all of whose elements can be simultaneously diagonalized in the adjoint representation, say, and whose dimension is maximal.

Given any representation V of $\mathfrak{g}$, a *weight* of V is a linear functional $\lambda : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the subspace

$$V_\lambda := \{v \in V \ ; \ Hv = \lambda(H)v \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

is nonzero.

The roots of $\mathfrak{h}$ are the weights α of the adjoint representation, and their union forms a *root system* Φ. (See [B] for more on root systems.) We choose an ordering of the roots as follows. Let $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ be such that no root vanishes on H. If a root α satisfies $\alpha(H) > 0$, we say that α is positive, and write $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Among the positive roots, there is a subset Δ of so called *simple roots*, with the property that any positive root can be written as a linear combination of the simple roots, with nonnegative integer coefficients.

The simple roots form a basis for $\mathfrak{h}^*$, the dual vector space of $\mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, given a root α, $-\alpha$ is also a root, and there are vectors $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, F_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ and $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, so that the following hold.

1. The Lie algebra spanned by E_α, F_α and H_α is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$, i.e., E_α, F_α and H_α satisfy the commutation relations (1). We define the Lie algebra isomorphism

$$\phi_\alpha : \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) \to \operatorname{span}\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha, H_\alpha\} \quad \text{by} \quad \phi_\alpha \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E \\ F \\ H \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} E_\alpha \\ F_\alpha \\ H_\alpha \end{array} \right\}.$$

2. For all linearly independent roots α, β,

$$\alpha(H_\beta) = \frac{2B(\alpha,\beta)}{B(\beta,\beta)},$$

where $B(\alpha,\beta) = \operatorname{tr}(adH_\alpha adH_\beta)$ is the form on the root system associated to $\mathfrak{h}$ induced by the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The collection of H_α, $\alpha \in \Phi$, is thus also a root system Φ^*, called the dual of Φ.

Homogeneous spaces

A homogeneous space X is a manifold that has a transitive action by a Lie group G. In our main theorems, we assume that G is semisimple and of adjoint type. Thus we are dealing with groups having trivial center. [1] It is known that every adjoint group is algebraic, i.e. it is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $SL_N(\mathbb{C})$ defined by the vanishing of certain polynomials. By Cartan's Theorem A, the algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$, of polynomial functions on G (where G is viewed as a closed submanifold on $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$) , is dense in $\mathcal{O}(G)$. If K is a subgroup of G, the quotient manifold $G/K = \{gK : g \in G\}$ admits a transitive action by G,

---

[1] On occasion, when it is more convenient to do so, we might represent homogeneous spaces in the form $\tilde{G}/K$, where $\tilde{G}$ has finite center contained in K.

$h : gK \mapsto hgK$, and every manifold that admits a transitive action by G arises in this way. By Matsushima's theorem [Ma], such a quotient G/K is Stein if and only if K is reductive.

We now turn to the realization of G/K in the case when K is a reductive subgroup of G. This is the "if" part of the theorem mentioned above, and is a classical theorem going back to Weyl and Hilbert. We use the word *reductive* in an analytic sense: K is reductive if it contains a compact subgroup K_0 so that $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 + i\mathfrak{k}_0$, where $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_0$ are the Lie algebras of K and K_0 respectively. With this definition, we shall need is following result of H. Weyl. For the proof, see [Md].

THEOREM 2.5 (WEYL). *Let G be a semisimple group, and K a reductive subgroup. Then there is a representation of G in a finite dimensional vector space V, and an element $v \in V$, so that the orbit $X = G \cdot v$ of v by G is closed, and biholomorphic to G/K.*

The realization of G/K, via theorem 2.5, as an orbit in a finite dimensional representation is used in the proof of our theorems. To see how, let ρ be a linear representation of G in a vector space V. To every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, we can associate a complete holomorphic vector field $\vec{\xi}$ on V defined by

$$\vec{\xi}f(p) \quad = \quad \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} f(\rho(e^{(t\xi)})p) \qquad f \in \mathcal{O}(V),\ p \in V.$$

Evidently $\vec{\xi}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-complete. If we now let f be a (holomorphic) defining function for a G-invariant closed submanifold X of V, in a neighborhood U (in V) of a point $p \in X$, then

$$(df\vec{\xi})_p = \vec{\xi}f(p) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} f(\rho(e^{(t\xi)})p) = 0,$$

since, for t small enough, $\rho(e^{(t\xi)})p \in U \cap X$. This proves the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *For every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, the vector field $\vec{\xi}$ is tangent to any smooth G-orbit in V.*

REMARK: *Note that Weyl's construction provides and embedding of G/K into a linear space on which G, an adjoint group, acts. It follows that the weights admitted by this representation are in the root lattice.*

3 Reduction to representation theory

The first part of the section reviews some of our work in [TV]. We present a number of technical refinements that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Polynomial vector fields

We begin by introducing an algebraic version of Definition 2.4. To this end, let V be $\mathfrak{g}$-space. The vector space $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ has a natural $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure, given by

$$(\rho \otimes ad)(\xi)v \otimes \eta = \rho(\xi)v \otimes \eta + v \otimes [\xi, \eta].$$

Definition 3.1. *We call $f \otimes \xi$ a $\mathfrak{g}$-shear (resp. $\mathfrak{g}$-overshear) if $\rho(\xi)f = 0$, (resp. $\rho(\xi)^2 f = 0$).*

Our goal, then, is to study whether or not, for a given $\mathfrak{g}$-space V, overshears generate $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. The simplest case to consider is the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and we did so in [TV]. We now recall several results from there, beginning with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and V is either $\mathbb{C}^2$ or an irreducible module whose dimension is odd, then V is generated by its $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$-overshears.*

Now, given a $\mathfrak{g}$-space V, we can also form the $\mathfrak{g}$-space $\mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathbb{C}[V]$ is the algebra of polynomial functions on V. Remarkably, using Theorem 3.2 one has the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be semisimple and let V be a $\mathfrak{g}$-space. Assume that $V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is generated by its $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears. Then $\mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is generated by its $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears.*

Proof: We chose a basis x_i of V^* consisting of weight vectors. This choice defines monomial basis (consisting of weight vectors) of the finite dimensional vector spaces of polynomials of a given degree. It suffices to check that for every monomial $f \in \mathcal{O}_{Aff}(M)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ of the form F_α, E_α, or H_α, fX is completely generated. In this case, X lies in some subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ that is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ via ϕ_α.

Note that if, with respect to H_α, each x_i has even weight or f has even weight then there is nothing to prove; the result follows from theorem 3.2. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that x_1 has odd weight λ and that $f = x_1 \cdot g$ where $g \in \mathcal{O}_{Aff}(M)$ has even weight $2k$. Moreover, we note that it suffices to assume that $X = E_\alpha,\ H_\alpha$ or F_α.
Case 1: $(X = H_\alpha)$ Then

$$[gH_\alpha, x_1 H_\alpha] = (\lambda - 2k)x_1 g H_\alpha = (\lambda - 2k) f H_\alpha.$$

Since gH_α has even weight, it is generated by shears. The result follows from the fact that $\lambda - 2k$ is odd and hence not zero.
Case 2: $(X = E_\alpha)$ Then

$$\begin{aligned} [gH_\alpha, x_1 E_\alpha] &= gH_\alpha(x_1)E_\alpha + f[H_\alpha, E_\alpha] - x_1 E_\alpha(g) H_\alpha \\ &= (\lambda_1 + 2) f E_\alpha - x_1 E_\alpha(g) H_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

As in Case 1, gH_α is generated by shears. By Case 1, $x_1 E_\alpha(g) H_\alpha$ is completely generated. this case now follows from the fact that $\lambda + 2$ is odd and hence not zero. The case where $X = F_\alpha$ is handled in a fashion similar to case 2. $\square$

Let M be a Stein manifold, which we think of as already embedded in some $\mathbb{C}^n$. Suppose we are given a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} < \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of holomorphic vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^n$ that are all tangent to M and that span the tangent space to M at every point. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{Aff}(M)$ the restriction to M of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and write $\mathcal{X}_{Aff}(M) := \mathcal{O}_{Aff}(M) \cdot \mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $\mathcal{X}_{Aff}(M)$ consists of those vector fields that are multiples of the vector fields in $\mathfrak{g}$ by functions in $\mathcal{O}_{Aff}(M)$. The next result, established in [TV], is a useful sufficiency criterion for the density property.

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has the following properties.*

1. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of linear vector fields (i.e. if f is a linear function and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, then ξf is linear)*
2. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple and consists of complete vector fields,*
3. *We have $\mathcal{O}(M) \cdot \mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$.*
4. *If f is a linear function and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $f\xi$ is in the Lie algebra generated by complete vector fields*

Then $\mathcal{X}_{Aff}(M)$ is generated by complete vector fields. Thus, in particular, M has the density property.

Proof: Cartan's Theorem A implies that $\mathcal{X}_{Aff}(M)$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ in the locally uniform topology. By Theorem 3.3, $\mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears, and this remains true in $\mathcal{X}_{Aff}(M)$ because restriction to M preserves Lie brackets. Since the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ are complete, so are their overshears, by Proposition 2.3. $\square$

REMARK: We don't ask for M to be parallelized by a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$; it may happen that $\dim \mathfrak{g} > \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$.

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 3.4, we have

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $G \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ be a representation such that $V^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is generated by its $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears. Then any closed orbit of G has the density property.*

We make use of this theorem by realizing our manifold as a closed orbit of G via Weyl's Theorem 2.5. (Recall that a closed submanifold of a Stein manifold is Stein.)

Quadratic vector fields

In [TV] Theorem 3.2 was sufficient for establishing criterion 4 of Theorem 3.4, and thus the density property on complex semisimple Lie groups. We now proceed to analyze the situation in greater depth. Recall that criterion 4 requires vector fields of the form

$$f\xi, \quad f \in V^*, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

to be completely generated. After choosing a basis of V consisting of weight vectors, and the base $\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi^+\} \cup \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ we are lead to checking finitely many vector fields. However, deciding whether any of these vector fields is completely generated could be fairly difficult. In this section we investigate to what extent we can reduce the number of vector fields to be checked so as to obtain the desired result.

To present our sharpening of Theorem 3.4 we introduce the following.

Definition 3.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra. A weight is called extremal if its orbit under the Weyl group contains a multiple of a fundamental weight. Given a representation V of $\mathfrak{g}$ a weight vector $v \in V$ is called extremal if the corresponding weight is extremal.*

The term *extremal* comes from the fact that the dominant extremal weights lie in the extremal lines of the dominant Weyl-chamber (the cone of linear functionals that are positive with respect to the ordering).

Let M and $\mathfrak{g}$ be as in Theorem 3.4, and fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has the following properties.*

1. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple and consists of complete vector fields that are linear (in the sense of 1 in Theorem 3.4).*
2. *We have $\mathcal{O}(M) \cdot \mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$.*
3. *If f is a linear function that is an extremal weight vector, with extremal weight λ, and $H_i \in \mathfrak{h}$, is a simple co-root so that $\lambda(H_i) \neq 0$, then fH_i is in the Lie algebra generated by complete vector fields*

Then $\mathcal{X}_{Aff}(M)$ is generated by complete vector fields. Thus, in particular, M has the density property.

Remark: The reduction to $\mathfrak{h}$ is natural from the geometric point of view: the problematic vector fields are those whose orbit is $\mathbb{C}^*$. The extremality condition exploits the extra structure of semi-simple Lie algebras, and does not seem to have a geometric interpretation.

The proof of Theorem 3.7 is a series of somewhat technical observations. We will state these results for general $\mathfrak{g}$-modules W, since they do not rely on any specific properties of the $\mathfrak{g}$-space at hand, but the reader should keep in mind that in our application W is the dual of this $\mathfrak{g}$-space. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h} < \mathfrak{g}$ a Cartan subalgebra, and $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to End(W)$ a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ in W. Then*

$$W \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

is generated by $W \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

Proof: Let W_0 be the submodule of $W \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ generated by $W \otimes \mathfrak{h}$. Given a root α and the corresponding co-root H_α, choose a nonzero $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$ so that $[H_\alpha, X_\alpha] = 2X_\alpha$. The set of all such X_α, together with $\mathfrak{h}$, span $\mathfrak{g}$. If now $w \in W$, then

$$2w \otimes X_\alpha = (X_\alpha w) \otimes H_\alpha - X_\alpha(w \otimes H_\alpha) \in W_0,$$

as desired. □

The next lemma shows that the weights for only a few of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$-subalgebras $\langle X_\alpha, H_\alpha \rangle$ need to be even.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to End(W)$ is a representation of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let λ be a weight of $\mathfrak{h}$ in W and $W_\lambda = \{w \in W \ ; \ Hw = \lambda(H)w\}$ the corresponding subspace of W. If there exists a co-root H_α so that $\lambda(H_\alpha)$ is even and nonzero, then $W_\lambda \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ is contained in the submodule generated by the $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears.*

Proof: Let α be so that $\lambda(H_\alpha)$ is positive and even. Since $\lambda(H_\alpha) \neq 0$, we have that

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}H_\alpha \oplus \ker \lambda.$$

Let W' be the smallest subspace of W that is invariant under $\phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ and contains W_λ. Under the action of $\phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$, W' has only even weights, and thus by Proposition 3.2 it is generated by the $\phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$ overshears. These are automatically $\mathfrak{g}$ overshears, showing that

$$W_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}H_\alpha$$

is generated by $\mathfrak{g}$ overshears. On the other hand, the elements of

$$W_\lambda \otimes \ker \lambda$$

are overshears for the trivial reason that, for $H \in \ker \lambda$ and $w \in W_\lambda$, $Hw = 0$. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let λ be a weight that is not a multiple of a fundamental weight. Then there exists a co-root H_α such that $\lambda(H_\alpha)$ is positive and even.*

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that λ is dominant. We will use the notation of the Planches (Tables) of [B]. We let Φ denote the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. For a root α, let H_α be the corresponding co-root in $\mathfrak{h}$. The set $\{H_\alpha \ ; \ \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is a root system dual to Φ. For a simple root α_i, we denote H_{α_i} by H_i. With λ as in the hypothesis, one may choose simple roots α_i, α_j, so that $\lambda(H_i) > 0, \lambda(H_j) > 0$. Choosing i, j minimal with respect to this property, we have that $\lambda(H_k) = 0$, for all $i < k < j$. Assume that $\lambda(H_i)$ and $\lambda(H_j)$ are odd. No matter which root system is being considered, $H_{ij} = \sum_{i \leq k \leq j} H_k$ is a co-root (although it might not be the co-root corresponding to $\sum_{i \leq k \leq j} \alpha_k$). Then

$$\lambda(H_{ij}) = \lambda(H_i) + \lambda(H_j),$$

so that $\lambda(H_{ij})$ must be positive and even. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.7: By Lemma 3.8 it is enough to show that fH is completely generated for $f \in V^*$, $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. We may assume without any loss of generality that f is a simultaneous eigenvector of $\mathfrak{h}$ with weight λ, and that $H = H_\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \Delta$. If λ is extremal then fH is either complete (if $\lambda(H) = 0$) or else, by assumpion 3 in Theorem 3.7, it is completely generated . We may therefore assume that λ is not a multiple of a fundamental weight. Lemma 3.10 shows that there is a co-root $H' \in \mathfrak{h}$ so that $\lambda(H')$ is even. By Lemma 3.9 the vector fields in $V_\lambda^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ are completely generated in view of Proposition 2.3. Therefore Condition 4 of Theorem 3.7 implies Condition 4 of Theorem 3.4, and the result follows. $\square$

4 Examples

In this section we provide simplified proofs of the density property for some special examples. Interestingly, some of these examples lie beyond the scope of Theorem 1.1.

Small representations

Definition 4.1. *A representation V of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is said to be* b*ounded by 2 if every weight n satisfies $|n| \le 2$. More generally, a representation V of a complex semisimple Lie group G is bounded by 2 if for any root α, the restriction of V to $\phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$ is bounded by 2.*

Remark: (*i*) *The notion of boundedness by 2 is independent of the choice of Cartan subalgebra.* (*ii*) *Since the weights of V^* are the negatives of the weights of V, V^* is also bounded by 2.*

The next proposition shows that one can use representations bounded by 2 to produce examples of affine homogeneous spaces with the density property. In [TV] we established that if V is a representation bounded by 2, then $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is generated by its $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears. In view of Theorem 3.4, we therefore have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *If G be a semisimple Lie group admitting a representation V that is bounded by 2, then every closed G-orbit in V has the density property.*

Proposition 4.2 can be used to establish the density property in many cases. We now proceed to do this in several examples.

Affine quadrics

Consider the smooth affine subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ given by

$$Q_n = \left\{x_0^2 + ... + x_n^2 = 1\right\}.$$

Theorem 4.3. *For any $n \geq 2$, the affine quadric Q_n has the density property.*

This follows from Proposition 4.2 and the fact that Q_n is a closed orbit[2] of the standard representation

$$SO(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}),$$

which is bounded by 1.

We will describe this example in some detail. The $n(n+1)/2$ vector fields X_{ij}, $0 \leq i < j \leq n$, given by

$$X_{ij} := x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i, \qquad 0 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

are tangent to Q_n and generate the module $\mathcal{X}_{Aff}(Q_n)$. The relation

$$[X_{ij}, X_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} X_{il} - \delta_{ik} X_{jl} + \delta_{il} X_{jk} - \delta_{jl} X_{ik},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, shows that the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{span}\ \{X_{ij}\ ;\ 0 \leq i < j \leq n\}$$

is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$. Let k be the largest integer such that $2k < n$. A Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is

$$\mathrm{span}\left\{\sqrt{-1}X_{0,1}, ..., \sqrt{-1}X_{2k,2k+1}\ \right\}$$

and the weight vectors with nonzero weights are

$$x_0 \pm \sqrt{-1}x_1, ..., x_{2k} \pm \sqrt{-1}x_{2k+1}$$

showing that this representation is bounded by 1.

The space of Lagrangian splittings

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with the standard symplectic form

$$\langle x, y \rangle := x^t J y,$$

where x^t is the transpose of x and

$$J = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{array}\right],$$

with the entries 0 and I signifying the $n \times n$ zero and identity matrices. The symplectic group

$$Sp(2n, \mathbb{C}) := \left\{g \in GL(2n, \mathbb{C})\ :\ g^t J g = J\right\}$$

acts transitively on the Lagrangian subspaces of V, i.e., the subspaces of V that are isotropic for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ and have maximal dimension. The set of all Lagrangian subspaces is a projective manifold, called the Lagrangian Grassmannian, and thus the stabilizer of any single Lagrangian is parabolic. Choose, for example, V_1 to be the span of the first n standard basis vectors $e_1 = [1, 0, 0, ..., 0, 0]^t, e_2 = [0, 1, 0, ..., 0, 0]^t, ..., e_n = [0, 0, 0, ..., 0, 1]^t$. The stabilizer of V_1 is the subgroup

---

[2] Note, that this homogeneous space is not of adjoint type.

$$P = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in Sp(2n, \mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

Consider the set $\mathcal{L}(V)$ of Lagrangian decompositions of V, i.e., ordered pairs of Lagrangian subspaces (L_1, L_2), so that $V = L_1 \oplus L_2$. This set can also be given a holomorphic, and even algebraic structure, and the resulting manifold M is Stein, supporting a transitive action by $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$. If V_2 is the subspace generated $e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}$, the stabilizer

$$L = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in Sp(2n, \mathbb{C}) \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & (a^t)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \; ; \; a \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

of the pair (V_1, V_2) is a Levi component of P. Indeed, L is reductive,

$$U = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} I & b \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \in Sp(2n, \mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

is unipotent, and $P = LU$.

Now L is the centralizer of

$$\lambda = \left[\begin{array}{cc} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{array} \right] \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and so M can be identified with the orbit of λ in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, the space of $2n \times 2n$ matrices, on which $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$ acts by conjugation. One checks that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, the Lie algebra of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{C})$, and so the orbit of λ lies entirely in $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. The orbit is closed and is described as

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x^t \end{bmatrix} \; : \; y^t = y, \; z^t = z, \; x^2 + yz = I \right\}.$$

Since the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is bounded by 2, Proposition 4.2 implies the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.4. *For every symplectic vector space V, the manifold $\mathcal{L}(V)$ of all Lagrangian splittings of V has the density property.*

The space of Lagrangian splittings is an example of a *symplectic homogeneous space*, which we now discuss more generally.

Symplectic homogeneous spaces

For more details on this brief overview, we refer the reader to [B]. Let G be a semisimple Lie group. A closed subgroup P is called parabolic if G/P is projective. We give a very brief description of P in terms of the root system of the Lie algebra of G.

Let Φ^+ denote the set of positive roots, and Δ the set of simple roots. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the subalgebra generated by X_α, $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, and $\mathfrak{b}$ the subalgebra generated by $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$.

Let B denote the connected closed subgroup of G associated to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}$. The quotient G/B is a projective manifold, and by a famous theorem of Borel, B is a minimal parabolic subgroup in the sense that every parabolic subgroup is conjugate to one that contains B. Therefore, up to inner automorphisms, it is enough to describe those subgroups P whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ contains $\mathfrak{b}$. This is done as follows. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ contains $\mathfrak{h}$, there is basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ consisting of eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{h}$, and the set of negative roots admitted by $\mathfrak{p}$, i.e., the set

$$\left\{\alpha \in \Phi\ ;\ \exists X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ such that } \forall H \in \mathfrak{h},\ [H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H) X_\alpha \text{ and } -\alpha \in \Phi^+\right\},$$

must be closed under addition. Let H be an element of $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\alpha(H) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, and let $\Phi' = \{\alpha \in \Phi : \alpha(H) = 0\}$. Then the subspace with basis

$$\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi'\} \cup \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \left\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi^+ \backslash \Phi'\right\}$$

is a subalgebra, and so it is the Lie algebra of a parabolic subgroup. This is the only way parabolic subalgebras can arise. Moreover, when $H \neq 0$, the algebra constructed here is proper. In that case $P = LU$, where the Lie algebra of L is generated by

$$\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi'\} \cup \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\},$$

and thus L is reductive. The Lie algebra of U is generated by $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi^+ \backslash \Phi'\}$, showing that U is unipotent. Therefore the Levi component L is the centralizer of the semisimple element H used in the construction of $\mathfrak{p}$.

For the rest of this section we view G as a subgroup of $End(\mathfrak{g})$ through the adjoint representation. Let $P = LU$ be a parabolic subgroup, where L arises as the stabilizer of a semisimple element $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since, at the Lie algebra level, our representation is the derivative of the group action at the identity, the Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra equal to the centralizer of H is the stabilizer of H in the adjoint action. Therefore $M := G/L$ is realized as the orbit of H in $\mathfrak{g}$ under Ad, and it is well-known that the adjoint orbit of a semisimple element is an algebraic submanifold of $\mathfrak{g}$ [B].

Theorem 4.5. *The closed adjoint orbit $M = G/L \subset \mathfrak{g}$ described above has the density property.*

To prove Theorem 4.5 it is enough to show that the conditions of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied. Note that if $\mathfrak{g}$ has no factors of type G_2, the adjoint representation is bounded by 2 and we could apply Proposition 4.2 to these cases. However, the following result covers all the cases and employs a uniform argument that avoids using the classification of complex semisimple Lie algebras. The techniques are modifications of those used in the proof of Theorem 6.3 in [TV].

Theorem 4.6. *The adjoint representation is $\mathfrak{g}$-completely generated.*

Proof: Let G be the adjoint group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In view of Theorem 3.3, it suffices to show that for each $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\varphi \otimes x$ is generated by overshears.

Recall that for a representation V, the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on the dual V^* is given by $x\varphi(v) = -\varphi(xv)$.

Because $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, it admits a nondegenerate Killing form, and thus an isomorphism between the adjoint representation and its dual. Using the notation of section 2, this isomorphism is given explicitly as follows. Let

$$e_\alpha(x) = B(E_\alpha, x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

and define f_α and g_α similarly.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that φ is any of the $e_\alpha,\ h_\alpha, f_\alpha$ where $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. Moreover, because of the symmetry between e_α and f_α, it suffices to prove only that $h_\alpha \otimes E_\beta,\ h_\alpha \otimes H_\beta,\ f_\alpha \otimes E_\beta,\ e_\alpha \otimes H_\beta$ and $e_\alpha \otimes E_\beta$ are generated by overshears. In what follows, n will be used to denote some integer that may vary from case to case.

1: $h_\alpha \otimes H_\beta$ is a shear and $h_\alpha \otimes E_\beta$ an overshear.

Proof: For the first case,

$$H_\beta h_\alpha(x) = -h_\beta([H_\alpha, x]) = -B(H_\beta, [H_\alpha, x]) = B([H_\alpha, H_\beta], x) = 0.$$

For the second case,

$$E_\beta^2 h_\alpha(x) = -B(H_\alpha, [E_\beta, [E_\beta, x]]) = -B([[H_\alpha, E_\beta], E_\beta], x) = 0.$$

2: $f_\alpha \otimes E_\beta = \frac{1}{2}\left(F_\alpha(h_\alpha \otimes E_\beta) + n h_\alpha \otimes H_\beta\right)$, so in view of case 1, $f_\alpha \otimes E_\beta$ is generated by overshears.

3: $e_\alpha \otimes H_\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_\alpha(h_\alpha \otimes H_\beta) + n h_\alpha \otimes E_\beta\right)$, so in view of case 1, $e_\alpha \otimes H_\beta$ is generated by overshears.

4: $e_\alpha \otimes E_\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_\beta(e_\alpha \otimes H_\beta) + c e_{\alpha+\beta} \otimes H_\beta\right)$ for some constant c, so in view of cases 1 and 3, $e_\alpha \otimes E_\beta$ is generated by overshears.

This completes the proof. □

It is known [GS] that if X is a semisimple homogeneous space that admits a symplectic form, then there is a semisimple homogeneous space of the type G/L described above, and a finite holomorphic covering map $\pi : X \to G/L$. Thus one can apply Theorem 1.3 to obtain the following corollary, which we believe is worth stating separately.

Corollary 4.7. *Let X be a semisimple homogeneous space that admits a symplectic form and Y a complex manifold with $\dim(Y) < \dim(X)$ such that there exists a proper holomorphic embedding $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$. Then there exists another proper holomorphic embedding $j' : Y \hookrightarrow X$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$, $\varphi \circ j(Y) \neq j'(Y)$.*

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

In this section we establish Theorem 1.1 using Theorem 3.7. In order to reduce the former to the latter, we provide proofs for a number of simple but perhaps more esoteric facts about root systems.

We start with some obvious reductions, whose proofs are left to the reader.

Lemma 5.1.

- *a) If V and W are $\mathfrak{g}$-spaces such that $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and $W \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ are generated by their $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears, then $(V \oplus W) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is generated by its $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears.*
- *b) Suppose Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$, and $\mathfrak{g}_i$-spaces V_i, $i = 1, 2$, are given, so that $V_i \otimes \mathfrak{g}_i$ are generated by their $\mathfrak{g}_i$-overshears. Then $(V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes (\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is generated by its $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$-overshears.*

The representation ρ of $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ on $V_1 \otimes V_2$ is given by

$$\rho(\xi_1 \oplus \xi_2)(v_1 \otimes v_2) = \rho_1(\xi_1)v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_1 \otimes \rho_2(\xi_2)v_2.$$

Using Lemma 5.1, it suffices to consider the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple and V is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module. To this end, the main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra, and let V be an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-space whose highest weight is in the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $V \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is generated by its $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears.*

In view of Theorem 3.7 the main task is to handle the case of multiples of the fundamental weights. Such weight are sparse in the root lattice, but they do arise. In the case of representations with highest weight in the root lattice, the situation is dealt with by making use of the following facts. (Again, we use the notation of the Planches (Tables) of [B].)

Lemma 5.3. *Let Φ be a simple root system, $B = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_l\}$ a set of simple roots in Φ, and $\{\omega_1, ..., \omega_l\}$ the corresponding set of fundamental weights. Let $m \neq 0$ be such that $\lambda = m\omega_i$ is in the root lattice.*

- *a) Assume that Φ is of type $A_{2l+1}, B_l, C_l, D_l, E_7, E_8, F_4$, or G_2 and that ω_i is arbitrary. Then there exists some root α, so that $\lambda(H_\alpha)$ is a nonzero even integer.*
- *b) Assume that $\Phi = E_6$, and that $\lambda = m\omega_i$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. Then there exists some root α, so that $\lambda(H_\alpha)$ is a nonzero even integer.*
- *c) If $\Phi = A_{2l}$ and $\lambda = m\omega_i$ for some i, or if $\Phi = E_6$ and $\lambda = m\omega_1$, then $m\omega_i \pm \alpha_i$ is not an integral multiple of a fundamental weight.*

Proof: a) and b): Recall that for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$,

$$\lambda(H_\alpha) = \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)},$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is some multiple of the Killing form. To simplify the computations, when working with the Planches (Tables) of [B], we will use the ordinary scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^n$, which in all cases is a scalar multiple of the Killing form.

- When $\Phi = A_{2l+1}$, and $\lambda = m\omega_i$ is in the root lattice, then $(2l+2)|m$, and so m is even.
- When Φ is of type B_l, then $\omega_1(H_{e_1}) = 2$ and, for all $i > 1$, $\omega_i(H_{e_1+e_2}) = 2$.
- When Φ is of type C_l then, for all $i > 1$, $\omega_i(H_{e_1+e_2}) = 2$. When $i = 1$, $\omega_1 \notin \Phi$, but $2\omega_1 \in R$, forcing m to be even.
- When Φ is of type D_l and $1 < i < l-1$, $e_1 + e_2$ still works for ω_i. None of $\omega_1, \omega_{l-1}, \omega_l$ is in Φ, and their index in the root lattice is either 2 or 4, forcing m to be even if $m\omega_1, m\omega_{l-1}$, or $m\omega_l$ is to be in the root lattice.
- When Φ is of type E_6, E_7, E_8, or G_2 we use the fact that

  $$\check{\Phi} = \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)} : \alpha \in \Phi \right\} = \Phi.$$

  First assume that the pair (Φ, ω_i) is different from the pairs (E_6, ω_6) and (E_7, ω_7). Then one finds roots $\alpha = \sum_j c_j \alpha_j$ so that c_i is even. For (E_6, ω_6), note that m must be divisible by 3, and that $\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{j=1}^{5} e_j - e_6 - e_7 + e_8)$ works. When $\Phi = E_7$, once again $m\omega_7$ will be in the root lattice only for even m.
- Finally, when Φ is of type F_4, e_1 works when $i = 1, 2$ and 4, and $\frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4)$, when $i = 3$.

c): This follows from the Euclidean geometry of the root systems $A_{2\ell}$ and E_6. One could also consult the relevant Planches (Tables) in [B]. $\square$

The last detail we need is the following generalization of Theorem 3.2.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let V be an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and suppose $v \in V$ is such that $Hv = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$. If neither E nor F annihilates v, then $V \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is generated by $v \otimes H$ and the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$-overshears of $V \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof: If j is the largest integer so that $E^j v \neq 0$, then $E^j v \otimes E$ and $E^{j-1} v \otimes E$ are overshears, and so $F^{j+1}(E^j v \otimes E)$ and $F^j(E^{j-1} v \otimes E)$ are in V. Since $FE^j v = (\lambda + 2j)E^{j-1} v$, one can easily prove, using induction, that

$$F^{j+1}(E^j v \otimes E) = (F^{j+1} E^j v) \otimes E - (j+1)(F^j E^j v) \otimes H + j(j+1) F^{j-1} E^j v \otimes F$$

and

$$F^j(E^{j-1}v\otimes E) = (F^jE^{j-1}v)\otimes E - j(F^{j-1}E^{j-1}v)\otimes H - j(j-1)F^{j-2}E^{j-1}v\otimes F.$$

These identities make sense, as $j \geq 2$. It follows that the three vectors

$$v\otimes H,\ F^{j+1}(E^jv\otimes E) \text{ and } F^j(E^{j-1}v\otimes E)$$

are linearly independent. Since $V\otimes\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is generated by its 3 dimensional λ eigenspace, the proof is complete. ☐

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Let W be the submodule of $V\otimes\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}$-overshears. By Lemma 3.8 we need to show that when $H\in\mathfrak{h}$ and v is a weight vector of weight λ, $v\otimes H\in W$. By Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10 we know that this is true if λ is not an integral multiple of a fundamental weight. The same arguments show that $v\otimes H\in W$ even when $\lambda = m\omega_i$ when $m=0$, or when ω_i is one of the fundamental weights listed in Lemma 5.3(a).

In the remaining cases, we can assume that H is one of the co-roots corresponding to a simple root; these were denoted H_i above. Consider the subalgebra generated by the elements E_i, F_i, H_i, which we denote $\phi_i(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$. Let M be the smallest subspace of V that contains V_λ and is invariant under $\phi_i(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$, and write $M=\oplus_\nu M_\nu$, where each M_ν is irreducible as a $\phi_i(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}))$-module. By complete reducibility, $V_\lambda = \oplus_\nu M_\nu\cap V_\lambda$. Choose $v_\nu\in V_\lambda\cap M_\nu$.

If both $E_i^2v_\nu$ and $F_i^2v_\nu$ are zero, but E_iv_ν and F_iv_ν are nonzero, then the representation M_ν is isomorphic to the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$, and so $H_iv_\nu=0$, i.e., $m=0$. When $E_iv_\nu=0$, $v_\nu\otimes H_i = F_i(E_iv_\nu\otimes E_i) - v_\nu\otimes E_i\in W$, and a similar computation works if $F_iv_\nu=0$. Therefore, we may assume that either $E_i^2v_\nu\neq 0$ or $F_i^2v_\nu\neq 0$. Moreover, by symmetry, it suffices to assume that $E_i^2v_\nu\neq 0$. In this case, since $\lambda+\alpha_i$ is not a multiple of a fundamental weight (Lemma 5.3), we can apply Proposition 5.4 with $v=E_iv_\nu$, and conclude from Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10 that $V_{\lambda+\alpha_i}\otimes H\subset W$, as desired. ☐

Taking into account the remark at the end of Section 2 and the remark following Theorem 3.3, Theorem 1.1 now follows from Theorems 3.5, 2.5 and 5.2. ☐

6 Lifting arguments– proof of theorem1.3

In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we make use of two ideas, due respectively to Winkelmann [W] and to Forstnerič-Globevnik-Rosay [FGR]. We shall describe the needed versions of these ideas below, but we will be brief with certain parts of the proof, as the proofs that appear elsewhere can be modified to produce the facts that we need here.

We say that two discrete sets S and T on a complex manifold M are equivalent if there exists $f\in\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ such that $f(S)=T$. If no such diffeomorphism exists, we say that S and T are inequivalent. A theorem of Winkelmann [W] asserts the existence of inequivalent sets on any Stein manifold.

We begin with the following proposition, which can be established easily from Winkelmann's Theorem and a counting argument.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that* $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ *is a covering map between Stein manifolds. Then there exist discrete sets* $S, T \subset \tilde{X}$ *that are not equivalent, such that* $\pi|S$ *and* $\pi|T$ *are one-to-one.*

Following the method of [FGR], one needs next to prove the following result.

Theorem 6.2. *Let* $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ *be a finite normal covering map of Stein manifolds with deck group* Γ*, and suppose* X *has the density property. Suppose* $K \subset \tilde{X}$ *is compact and holomorphically convex,* $A \subset K$ *is finite,* $p, q \in \tilde{X}$ *are points not in any of the translates* γK *of* K*,* $(\gamma \in \Gamma)$ *and* $\epsilon > 0$*. Then there exists* $F \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{X})$ *such that*

1. $F(p) = q$,
2. $F|A = id$, *and*
3. $\sup_{x \in K} dist(F(x), x) < \epsilon$.

The proof of Theorem 6.2 is based on Theorem 2.2. We start with the construction of a vector field on a cover from a vector field on the base, in such a way that completeness is inherited. In fact, this is the situation with the usual lifting of maps in covering space theory: $d\pi : T_{\tilde{X}} \to T_X$ is also a covering space, and the section $\xi : X \to T_X$ lifts to a map $\xi' : X \to T_{\tilde{X}}$ because there are no topological obstructions. The map ξ' then factors through π, and the factor $\tilde{\xi} : X \to T_X$ is actually a section. Rather than proving all of this topologically, we shall construct the vector field $\tilde{\xi}$ directly in the case where the cover is normal. This is the only case needed in our setting.

To this end, let $p \in \tilde{X}$. Suppose that $\pi^{-1}\pi p = \{p, q_2, ..., q_d\}$, and let $U_1, ..., U_d$ be neighborhoods of $p, q_2, ..., q_d$, respectively, that are mutually disjoint and such that $\pi|U_j : U_j \to U$ is a diffeomorphism. (In particular, $\pi(U_i) = \pi(U_j)$.) We set

$$\tilde{\xi}_p := d(\pi|U_1)^{-1}\xi_{\pi p}$$

We leave it to the reader to check that this vector field is well defined. Let Γ be the deck group of the covering π. Since for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ one has $\pi\gamma = \pi$, the vector field $\tilde{\xi}$ so defined is invariant, and thus is the lift mentioned above.

Lemma 6.3. *Let* ξ *be a holomorphic vector field on* X*. If* ξ *is sufficiently close to zero on a compact set* $K \subset X$*, then* $\tilde{\xi}$ *is small on the compact set* $\pi^{-1}K$*. Moreover, if* ξ *is complete, then the vector field* $\tilde{\xi}$ *is complete on* $\tilde{X}$*.*

Proof: The size assertion is obvious. To see the completeness assertion, note that, in fact, one can lift every integral curve of V to M. It is then an easy calculation to see that the lifted curve is an integral curve of $\tilde{V}$. Thus the latter is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 6.2: We may replace K by the union of its translates under the action of the deck group. Let η_t be a time dependent vector field defined on $K \cup \{p\}$, which is zero on K, and whose flow, defined up to time $1 + \delta$, carries the point p to the point q through a path $c : [0,1] \to \tilde{X}$ that does not meet K. Since, by a theorem of Stolzenberg, $\cup_{t\in[0,1]} K \times \{t\}$ is holomorphically convex in $\tilde{X} \times \mathbb{C}$, we can approximate η_t by a vector field ξ_t on all of $\tilde{X}$, that is small on K and vanishes on A, and whose flow carries p arbitrarily close to q. We can also assume, after averaging ξ_t by Γ, that $\gamma_* \xi_t = \xi_t$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This means that we can push ξ_t down to X, where it is a curve in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$. Since the latter is completely generated, we can approximate $\pi_* \xi_t$ by a sum of iterated Lie brackets of complete vector fields. If we now lift all of these complete vector fields to the cover $\tilde{X}$, then by Lemma 6.3 and the functoriality of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{O}}$ with respect to mappings we see that ξ_t is completely generated. An application of Theorem 2.2 finishes the proof. □

Theorem 1.3 is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6.1 and the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.4. *Let* $f : Y \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ *be a proper holomorphic embedding of Stein manifolds with* $\dim(Y) < \dim(\tilde{X})$*, and let* $S \subset \tilde{X}$ *be any discrete subset such that* $\pi|S$ *is* $1-1$*. Then there exists an embedding* $f' : Y \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ *such that* $f'(Y) \supset S$*.*

Proof: Let

$$L_0 = \emptyset \subset L_1 \subset \text{interior}(L_2) \subset L_2 \subset \text{interior}(L_3) \subset L_3 \subset \ldots \subset \tilde{X}$$

be a nested family of compact sets such that

$$\tilde{X} = \bigcup_{\ell \geq 1} L_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma L_\ell = L_\ell \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

We decompose S into disjoint finite sets $S_1, S_2, \ldots$ defined by the requirement that

$$S_j \subset L_j - L_{j-1}.$$

Let $f_0 := f$, and suppose we have obtained an embedding $f_{j-1} : Y \to \tilde{X}$ such that

$$f_{j-1}(\Sigma) \supset S_1 \cup \ldots \cup S_{j-1}.$$

We now apply Theorem 6.2 repeatedly to get f_j. To this end, enumerate

$$S_j = \{s_{1,j}, \ldots, s_{N_j,j}\}.$$

Let $K_{1,j} = L_j$, $A_{1,j} = S_1 \cup \ldots \cup S_{j-1}$, let $p \in f_{j-1}\sigma - K_{1,j}$ and $q = s_{1,j}$. An application of Theorem 6.2 gives us $F_{j,1} \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{X})$ with the properties stated there. Next, let $2 \leq \ell \leq N_j$ and suppose we have obtained $F_{j,\ell-1}$. Let $K_{\ell,j} = K_{\ell-1,j} \cup s_{\ell-1,j}$ and $A_{\ell,j} = A_{\ell-1,j} \cup s_{\ell-1,j}$, let

$$p \in F_{\ell-1,j} \circ \ldots \circ F_{1,j} \circ f_{j-1}(\Sigma) - K_{\ell,j},$$

and let $q = s_{\ell,j}$. Then, again, Theorem 6.2 gives us $F_{\ell,j} \in \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tilde{X})$ with the properties stated there.

We now define

$$f_j := F_{N_j,j} \circ \ldots \circ F_{1,j} \circ f_{j-1}.$$

It takes some additional care, in the construction of the $F_{k,j}$, to guarantee that $f_j \to f'$ and that f' is proper. The details can be carried out in exactly the same way as in [FGR]. $\square$

References

[A] Andersén, E., *Volume Preserving Automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^n$. Complex Variables **14**, 223-235 (1990)

[AL] Andersén, E., Lempert, L. *On The Group of Holomorphic Automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^n$*.* Invent. Math. **110**, 371-388 (1992)

[B] Bourbaki, N., Éléments de mathématique. (French) [Elements of mathematics] Groupes et algébres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6. [Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4, 5 and 6] Masson, Paris, 1981. 290 pp.

[DS] Diederich, K., Sibony, N., *Strange complex structures on Euclidean space.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 311/312 (1979), 397–407.

[Fs] Fornæss, J. E., *Short* $\mathbb{C}^n$*.* Preprint, 2003.

[Fc] Forstnerič, F. *Holomorphic Automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^n$*: A Survey.* (Proceedings 'Complex Analysis and Geometry', Ed. V. Ancona, E. Ballico, A. Silva; pp. 173-200) *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **173**, New York: Marcel Dekker (1996).

[FGR] Forstnerič, F., Globevnik, J., Rosay, J.-P., *Nonstraightenable complex lines in* $\mathbf{C}^2$*.* Ark. Mat. 34 (1996), no. 1, 97–101.

[FH] Fulton, W., Harris, J., Representation Theory, A First Course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129. Readings in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. xvi+551 pp.

[FR] Forstnerič, F., Rosay, J.P. *Approximation of Biholomorphic Mappings By Automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^n$*.* Invent. Math. **112**, 323-349 (1993).

[GS] Guillemin, V., Sternberg, S., Geometric Asymptotics, Mathematical surveys 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1977.

[K] Kodaira, k., *Holomorphic mappings of polydiscs into compact complex manifolds.* J. Diff. Geom. 6 33–46, (1971/72).

[Ma] Matsushima, Yoz, *Espaces homognes de Stein des groupes de Lie complexes.* Nagoya Math. J. **16**, 205–218 (1960).

[Md] Mumford, D., *Hilberts 14th Problem - The Finite Generation of Subgroups such as Rings of Invariants*, in Proc. of a Conference on Hilberts Problems, Dekalb, 1974, Amer. Math. Soc.

[R] Rosay, J.-P., Automorphisms of C^n, a survey of Andersén-Lempert theory and applications. Complex geometric analysis in Pohang (1997), 131–145, Contemp. Math., 222, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1999).

[RR] Rosay, J.P., Rudin, W. *Holomorphic Maps from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$.* Trans. AMS **310**, 47-86 (1988).

[TV] Tóth, Á., Varolin, D., *Holomorphic diffeomorphisms of semisimple Lie groups*, Invent. Math. **139**, 351-369 (2000)

[V1] Varolin, D., *The density property for complex manifolds and geometric structures.* J. Geom. Anal. 11 (2001), no. 1, 135–160.

[V2] Varolin, D., *The density property for complex manifolds and geometric structures. II.* Internat. J. Math. 11 (2000), no. 6, 837–847.

[V3] Varolin, D., *A general notion of shears, and applications.* Michigan Math. J. 46 (1999), no. 3, 533–553.

[W] Winkelmann, J., *Large discrete sets in Stein manifolds.* Math. Z. 236 (2001), no. 4, 883–901.

Árpád Tóth
Department of Analysis
Eötvös Loránd University
Budapest, Pázmány Péter 1/c
Hungary
email:`toth@cs.elte.hu`

Dror Varolin
Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana, IL 61801 USA
email: `dror@math.uiuc.edu`